THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE BILAL NAZKI
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NOOTY RAMAMOHANA RAO

WRIT PETITION No.22050 of 2005

Date: 02-11-2006.

Between :

Ch. Suresh & another.

.....Petitioners

And

The High Court of A.P., rep. by its Registrar General.

.....Respondent.

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE BILAL NAZKI
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NOOTY RAMAMOHANA RAO

WRIT PETITION No.22050 of 2005

ORDER: (Per Hon'ble Sri Justice Bilal Nazki)

This case was taken up before lunch. Nobody appeared. Therefore, it was passed over and taken up after Lunch. Still nobody appears for the petitioners.

The grievance of the petitioners was that the notification prescribing that the petitioners should have completed 32 years of age as on 01.07.2005 was bad on the ground that it was violative of the Rules. The Registrar (General) of the High Court has filed counter and has submitted in his counter that in terms of Rule 12(b) of the Special Rules for A.P. State Judicial Service provides for special qualifications for appointment to the post of Junior Civil Judge, and in the note to Rule 12(b) also, it is prescribed,

"References to age in the table and sub rule (c)

below shall be deemed to be references to age as on the first day of July of the year in which the appropriate list of approved candidates is prepared. The candidates should possess the other qualifications at the time when they apply".

According to the Registrar (General), the last date of receipt of the applications was fixed as 15.10.2005 and the age limit had been fixed at 32 years of age as on 01.07.2005. Since the petitioners had crossed the age of 32 years as on the crucial date and there was nothing in Rules which could have said to be violated by the respondents, and as it is specifically submitted that the first vacancy of the year 2005 arose on 24.02.2005, therefore, we do not find merit in this petition, which is accordingly dismissed.

_____

(BILAL NAZKI,
J)

_____

(NOOTY RAMAMOHANA RAO, J)

2nd November 2006

ajr